

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.: Not assigned
Filed: Filed herewith
Title: Hook-Up Assistant
Applicant(s): Frederick Hayes-Roth
Examiner: Not assigned
Art Unit: Not assigned

Mailed 14 AUG. 2003
Palo Alto, CA

Information Disclosure Statement

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, District of Columbia 20231

Dear Sir or Madam:

Attached is a completed Form PTO-1449 and copies of the pertinent parts of the references cited thereon.
It is requested that the document(s) on the enclosed form be made of record.

Part I (Authority)

This statement is filed pursuant to:

(X) 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b).

This information disclosure statement is filed either (1) within three months of the filing date of the national applications; (2) within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.491 in an international application; or (3) before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits, whichever event occurs last.

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires no fee and no certification.

() 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c).

This information disclosure statement is filed after the period specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b), but before the mailing date of either (1) a final action under 37 C.F.R. § 1.113 or (2) a notice of allowance under 37 C.F.R. § 1.311.

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires either the fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) (\$180), or a statement according to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

() 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d).

This information disclosure statement is filed after the period specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c).

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires either the fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) (\$180), and a statement according to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

Conditional Petition

It is respectfully requested that this information disclosure statement be considered, good cause being presented in Part III herein (certification). please treat this paper as the required petition.

If this statement crosses in the mail with an office action, or is otherwise not in the indicated category of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97, it is respectfully requested that this statement be treated in the next appropriate category and made of record.

To the extent required, please treat this paper as a conditional petition for acceptance of the information disclosure statement.

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /SS/

Part II (Payment)

A check is enclosed as indicated:

- ☒ No fee is due.
- ☐ The fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) is enclosed (\$180).
- ☐ The petition fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) to consider an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) is enclosed (\$180).

Part III (Statement)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e), I certify:

- ☒ No statement is necessary.
- ☐ (1) Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the statement.
- ☐ The "communication from a foreign patent office" referred to in the certification is an International Search Report, possibly issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in its capacity as an International Search Authority or International Preliminary Examining Authority.
- ☐ The "counterpart foreign application" referred to in the certification corresponds to an ancestor or descendent application of the application for which this information disclosure statement is filed.
- ☐ (2) No item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, or, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c), more than three months prior to the filing of the statement.

Part IV (Additional Statement)

An additional statement regarding these items of information ☐ is, ☒ is not, enclosed.

Copies of the cited art ☒ are enclosed, ☐ are of record in parent application Serial No. _____ and will be provided if the Examiner deems it convenient.

Dated: 14 AUG. 2003

Respectfully submitted,



Katharina Wang Schuster
Reg. No. 50,000
2345 Yale Street, 2nd Floor
Palo Alto, CA 94036
tel: 650-424-0100
fax: 650-424-0141

FORM PTO-1449 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE										ATTY. DOCKET NO. FHR-103		SERIAL NO.	
LIST OF PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)										APPLICANT Frederick Hayes-Roth			
										FILING DATE		GROUP	
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS													
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER						DATE	NAME			RELEVANT INFORMATION	
	A	5	8	1	5	5	6	6	9/29/98	Ramot et al.			379/265
	B	6	5	7	4	4	7	1	1/3/03	Rydbeck			455/418
	C	6	3	8	1	5	8	0	4/30/02	Levinson			705/8
	D	6	3	6	0	1	7	2	3/19/02	Burfeind et al.			702/2
	E	6	0	3	5	2	7	8	3/7/00	Mansour			705/9
	F	6	3	4	5	2	6	0	2/5/02	Cummings, Jr. et al.			705/8
	G	5	1	9	7	0	0	0	3/23/93	Vincent			364/401
	H	5	0	5	0	0	7	7	9/17/91	Vincent			364/401
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS													
		2- letter code	DOCUMENT NUMBER				DATE	COUNTRY			TRANSLATION		
											YES	NO	
	I												
	J												
OTHER PRIOR ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)													
	K		Ahmed Ashir et al., "A Meeting scheduling system for global events on the internet," Geneva, Switzerland, July 1998.										
	L		Sandip Sen, "An automated distributed meeting scheduler," IEEE Expert, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 41-45, July/August 1997.										
	M		Sandip Sen et al., "Satisfying user preferences while negotiating meetings," International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, Vol. 47, pp. 407-427, 1997.										
	N		Sandip Sen et al., "A formal study of distributed meeting scheduling," Group Decision and Negotiation, Vol. 7, pp. 265-289, 1998.										
	O		Amie Capone, "Meeting Maker, Inc. Releases scheduling Web services toolkit," retrieved on 8/12/03. Retrieved from the internet: < URL: http://www.meetingmaker.com/nes/20030121.cfm >.										
EXAMINER /Steven Sax/								DATE CONSIDERED 03/16/2008					
* EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.													

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /SS/